उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

MA 1025/05

(19)

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**
**M. A. NO. 1025 OF 2005**

**APPELLANT**
Claimant

Ku. Asha Sarthe, aged 41 year, D/o Bajurav Sorathe, Occupation Women Health Worker, R/o Paharipara Guriyari, Raipur Tah. & Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

Vs

**RESPONDENTS**
Non-applicant

1. Anand Kumar, aged 54 Year, S/o Bal Singh, Josaf Isai, R/o Dipot No.2, M.P.S.R.T.C., Raipur (C.G.)

2. C.G. Adhosanrachna Vikas Nigam, Through Divisional Manager, Gari Chowk, Raipur (C.G.)

**Present:**
Mr. S.C. Verma, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Passed on 19.4.2007)**

Heard.

M.C.P. No.1216/05 for condonation of delay is allowed and the delay is condoned.

This appeal is directed against the award dated 10.3.2005 passed by 11th Additional Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Raipur in Claim Case No.8/04 whereby allowing the application of claimant only a

GRPRJ — FS/246— 2/2007 — 50,000.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(20)

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक एवं आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

sum of Rs.20,000/- has been awarded as compensation to the appellant towards injuries sustained by him in a motor vehicle accident.

From perusal of Para 11 of the impugned award it is observed that the appellant has suffered simple injuries and for that she was given treatment by Primary Health Centre, Devbhog. However, there is no evidence available on record to show that the appellant has sustained any permanent disability in the said accident and considering this aspect the tribunal has awarded a sum of Rs.20,000/- towards the simple injuries sustained by the claimant.

The impugned award to the appellant appears to be just and the same is based on evidence available on record.

In view of the above, I am of the considered opinion that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned award passed by the claims tribunal, the appeal being devoid of merit liable to be dismissed and it is hereby dismissed at the admission stage itself.

Sd/-
DHIRENDRA MISHRA
Judge